1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 4 ANTHONY W. SOTIN, 5 No. CV-07-326-LRS 6 Plaintiff, ORDER OF DISMISSAL 7 VS. 8 DEPUTY REED, et al., 9 Defendants. 10 Plaintiff has filed what the court construes as a motion for voluntary 11 dismissal of this action, although the document is captioned "civil complaint." (Ct. 12 Rec. 17). It is not clear if this document was served upon counsel for the 13 Defendants. Defendants filed an answer in this matter on June 6, 2008 (Ct. Rec. 14 15) and a telephonic scheduling conference is currently set for July 8. 15 Because Defendants filed an answer, dismissal can only be effected through 16 a court order on terms the court considers proper. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2). Good 17 cause appearing, Plaintiff's motion (Ct. Rec. 17) is **GRANTED** and the captioned 18 action is **DISMISSED without prejudice** pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2). 19 The telephonic scheduling conference set for July 8 is **VACATED**. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Executive is directed to enter this 21 order and forward a copy to the Plaintiff and to counsel for Defendants. The 22 District Court Executive shall administratively **CLOSE** this file. 23 **DATED** this 20th day of June, 2008. 24 25 s/Lonny R. Suko 26 LONNY R. SUKO United States District Judge 27 28

ORDER OF DISMISSAL-

1